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1. Introduction
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Energy Awareness

- is associated to energy efficiency
- using energy information, e.g.,
  - as constraint for QoS
  - as metric for battery autonomy
INTRODUCTION

Using energy information

- is convenient in control design, e.g.,
  - for control stability
  - for fault tolerance
  - for robot safety
The communication between the software and the mechanics

- can be interpreted as energy exchange
  - iff the control signals contain information on:
    - forces and velocities
- this is already in use for loop control of robots.
A sequence controller

- produces a stream of setpoints for the loop controller
  - e.g., trajectories computed by a planner
- this can be interpreted as an energy supply
  - iff setpoints and feedback contain information on
    - forces and velocities
- this energy supply is implicit and can be unrestricted
An architecture

- accounting for the energy information
- making the energetic relations explicit and available
2. Framework
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Thinking in layers

- the sequence-control layer:
  - computes the sequence of robot movements
  - it may contain a trajectory planner
- the loop-control layer:
  - computes steering values
  - it contains the control-law algorithm
**Energy-aware Sequence Controller (EaSC)**

- communicates *setpoints* and *energy budget*
- the *energy budget* (Ebudget) represents:
  - the energy required to track the setpoint (SP)
  - the limited energy "supplied" by the planner
The EaSC also receives energy information

- gives insight on the physical interaction
- e.g., energy-budget consumption
  - i.e., energy-tank level (Etank)
Inside the EaSC

- a planner
  - computing the setpoint values

- an energy estimator (EE)
  - using models to generate the energy budget
  - this represents how much energy is needed
3. Use case
Simulation of mobile robotic platform

- Scenario 1
  - ground truth – i.e., ideal situation
  - EE parameters matching the physical system

- Scenario 2
  - higher friction than expected
  - EE parameters not matching the physical system
**USE CASE**

**Situation 1 - ground truth**

- Physical robot matching the robot-model* position
  
  * the robot model inside the energy estimator

- $E_{\text{tank}} \geq 0$
  
  - i.e., using energy within the budget
**USE CASE**

**Situation 2 - higher friction**

- Physical-robot **not matching** the robot-model position

- Only monitoring energy
  - planner takes no further action
  - results in $E_{tank} < 0$
    - i.e. **uses more energy** than the budgeted

- **Energy-aware** sequence-control action
  - planner decreases setpoint velocity
  - keeps energy consumption within budget
  - results in $E_{tank} \geq 0$
4. Conclusions
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CONCLUSIONS

This framework allows...

- structuring controllers to make decisions based on energy
- estimating the energy required for trajectories
- using energy information for better control behaviour
CONCLUSIONS

Further work

- extending energy awareness in the control structure
- communicating energy information across the system
- configuring and coordinating controllers based on energy
Thank you!
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